## **2014 COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT OUTCOME**

NAME OF THE ENTITY

DK - Jyske Bank

# 1 Main Results and Overview

# A MAIN INFORMATION ON THE BANK BEFORE THE COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT (end 2013)


			END 2013
A1	Total Assets (based on prudential scope of consolidation)	Mill. EUR	35.124
A2	Net (+) Profit/ (-) Loss of 2013 (based on prudential scope of consolidation)	Mill. EUR	242
АЗ	Common Equity Tier 1 Capital according to CRDIV/CRR definition, transitional arrangements as of 1.1.2014	Mill. EUR	2.310
A4	Total risk exposure according to CRDIV/CRR definition, transitional arrangements as of 1.1.2014	Mill. EUR	15.235
A5	Total exposure measure according to Article 429 CRR "Leverage exposure"	Mill. EUR	36.615
A6	CET1 ratio according to CRDIV/CRR definition, transitional arrangements as of 1.1.2014 A6=A3/A4	%	15,16%
A7	Tier 1 Ratio (where available) according to CRD3 definition, as of 31.12.2013 as reported by the bank	%	15,94%
A8	Core Tier 1 Ratio (where available) according to EBA definition	%	15,32%
A9	Leverage ratio $A9 = A3/A5$	%	6,31%
A10	Non-performing exposures ratio	%	4,90%
A11	Coverage ratio for non-performing exposure	%	38,52%
A12	Level 3 instruments on total assets	%	0,00%

#### B MAIN RESULTS OF THE COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT (CA)

B1	CET1 Ratio at year end 2013 including retained earnings / losses of 2013 B1=A6	%	15,16%
B2	Aggregated adjustments due to the outcome of the AQR	Basis Points Change	-30
В3	AQR adjusted CET1 Ratio B3 = B1 + B2	%	14,86%
B4	Aggregate adjustments due to the outcome of the <b>baseline</b> scenario of the joint EBA ECB Stress Test to lowest capital level vs threshold over the period of 3 years	Basis Points Change	109
B5	Adjusted CET1 Ratio after Baseline Scenario B5= B3 + B4	%	15,95%
B6	Aggregate adjustments due to the outcome of the <u>adverse</u> scenario of the joint EBA ECB Stress Test to lowest capital level vs threshold over the period of 3 years	Basis Points Change	-131
В7	Adjusted CET1 Ratio after Adverse Scenario B7 = B3 + B6	%	13,55%

B11	Aggregated Capital Shortfall of the Comprehensive Assessment B11 = max( B8, B9, B10 )	0	0
B10	to threshold of 5.5% in Adverse Scenario	0	0,00
B9	to threshold of 8% in Baseline Scenario	0	0,00
B8	to threshold of 8% for AQR adjusted CET1 Ratio	0	0,00
Capi	tal Snortfall	Basis Points 1	Mill. EUR

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> RWA used corresponds to relevant scenario in worst case year



# C MAJOR CAPITAL MEASURES IMPACTING TIER 1 ELIGIBLE CAPITAL FROM 1 JANUARY 2014 TO 30 SEPTEMBER 2014

Issu	ance of CET1 Instruments	Impact on Common Equity Tier 1 Million EUR
C1	Raising of capital instruments eligible as CET1 capital	945
C2	Repayment of CET1 capital, buybacks	0
C3	Conversion to CET1 of hybrid instruments becoming effective between January and September 2014	0
Net i	ssuance of Additional Tier 1 Instruments	Impact on Additional Tier 1 Million EUR
C4	with a trigger at or above 5.5% and below 6%	0
C5	with a trigger at or above 6% and below 7%	0
C6	with a trigger at or above 7%	0
Fine	s/Litigation costs	Million EUR
C7	Incurred fines/litigation costs from January to September 2014 (net of provisions)	0

## 2014 COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT OUTCOME

D.B

1.063

6.550

1.040

80-100 %

80-100 %

NAME OF THE ENTITY

DK - Jyske Bank

D.C

# 2. Detailed AQR Results

D. Matrix Breakdown of AQR Result (B2)

AQR breakdown Asset class breakdown

	Credit Risk RWA year end 2013	Portfolio selected in Phase 1	Adjustments to	provisions on sampled files	Adjustments due to	provisions due to projection of findings	roisivora of tagastanik A		etides (FT4) do taenal	offse
Units of Measurement		% of RWA selected in Phase 1	Basis Points	Mill. EUR	Basis Points	Mill. EUR	Basis Points	Mill. EUR	Basis Points	Mill. EUR
	10.878	80-100 %	7	10,64	32	49,21	1	1,21	-40	-61,06
sations	0									
	788									
	2.499	80-100 %	0	0,59	13	19,38	1	1,21	-14	-21,18
	972	80-100 %					0	0	0	0
	464	80-100 %	0	0	10	16	1	1	-12	-18

10,05

20

D.D

D.E

SC

0

29,82

0

0,00

0

-26

-39,88

D.F

D1 Total credit exposure

Sovereigns and Supranational non-governmental organisations

Institutions D3

D4 Retail

D5 thereof SME

D6 thereof Residential Real Estate (RRE)

D7 thereof Other Retail

Other Assets

D8 Corporates

D9

D10 Additional information

ion on portfolios with largest adjustments accounting for (at le	east) 30% of total banking book AQR adjustment:
Geography	

Asset Class

•				
				0
				0
				0

D.I

Units of Measuremen

D12 Fair Value review

D13 Non derivative exposures review D14 Bonds

Securitisations

D15 Loans D16

Equity (Investment in PE and Participations) D17

D18 Investment Properties / real estate

D19 Derivatives Model Review

	Portfolio size Carrying Amount	Portfolio selection	Impact on CE offsetting	
nt	Mill. EUR	% selected in Phase 1	Basis points	Mill. EUR

Gross impact on capital

Offsetting impact due to risk protection D21 Offsetting tax impact

D22

D23 Net total impact of AQR results on CET1 ratio

Basis points <sup>2</sup> Mill. EUR -40 -61,06 10 15

-30

Basis point impact includes adjustment to RWA

#### E. Matrix Breakdown of Asset Quality Indicators

Information reported only for portfolios subject to detailed review in AQR

**Asset quality indicators** Based on EBA simplified definition Asset class breakdown

Total credit exposure E1 **E2** Sovereigns and Supranational non-governmental organisations **E3** Institutions **E**4 Retail **E5** thereof SME

**E6** thereof Residential Real Estate (RRE) thereof Other Retail **E7** 

Corporates **E8 E9 Other Assets** 

	E .A	E .B	E .C	E .D
	<b>unadjusted NPE Level</b> year end 2013	Changes due to the single credit file review	Changes due to the projection of findings	AQR-adjusted NPE Level
Units of Measurement	%	Basis Points	Basis Points	%
Wedsarement	6,04%	1	11	6,16%
	5,22%	2	30	5,53%
	6,00%			
	5,14%	4	74	5,92%
	4,54%			
	6,54%	1	0	6,54%

	E.E	E.F E.G E.H		E .H	E.I	E.J	
	unadjusted coverage ratio of non-performing exposure, year end 2013	Changes due to the single credit file review	Changes due to the projection of findings	Changes due to the collective provisioning review on non-performing exposures	AQR - adjusted ratio of provisions on NPE to NPE	Coverage ratio for exposures newly classified as NPE during the AQR	
of nt	%	%	%	%	%	%	
	38,52%	0,38%	1,99%	70	40,90%	26,58%	
	25,86%	0,08%	3,18%		29,13%	25,66%	
	28,17%				28,17%	-	
	20,01%	0,26%	8,00%		28,27%	20,29%	
	32,42%				32,42%	47,77%	
	44,70%	0,53%	1,41%		46,64%	49,96%	

Units of Measurement

E10 Total credit exposure

Sovereigns and Supranational non-governmental organisation E11

E12 Institutions E13 Retail

E14 thereof SME

thereof Residential Real Estate (RRE) E15

thereof Other Retail E16

E17 Corporates

E18 Other Assets

#### For information purposes only

#### F. LEVERAGE RATIO IMPACT OF THE COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT

Explanatory Note:

• Note that the leverage ratio is based on the CRR Article 429 as of January 2014.

• It is currently not binding, is displayed for information purposes only and has no impact on the capital shortfall (B11).

6,31% Leverage Ratio at year end 2013 % F1 Please refer to Definitions and Explanations sheet F1 = A9Aggregated adjustments to Leverage Ratio due to the outcome of the AQR Basis Points F2 -13 F2 = (D20+D21+D22)/A56,18% AQR adjusted Leverage Ratio % F3 F3 = F1 + F2